(e) whether the Panchayat returned the amount sanctioned for a well to the Kaikolars of Pampadi as insufficient, and whether they have made any arrangement to provide them with drinking water;

(f) the reasons for not doing so;

(g) whether they have made any improvements in lanes or roads in Pampadi, as they have done in other parts of the said Panchayat?

Mr. I. Raman Menon—(a) No.

(b), (c) and (d). No answer is necessary in view of the answer above.

(e) No amount was sanctioned for a well to the Kaikolars of Pampadi. The Panchayat has not made any arrangement to provide them with drinking water.

(f) There is a well in an unoccupied compound belonging to a private owner to which the people of the locality are allowed free access. No difficulty is, therefore, felt for want of drinking water.

(g) Yes. As far as the needs of the locality required and funds permitted.

Mr. T. A. Ramachandra Ayyar.—Was there any complaint, oral or written, to the Superintendent regarding the insufficient water supply of Pampadi?

Mr. I. Raman Menon.—There was no complaint, as far as I am aware.

President.—No complaint was received.

The grievances of the people of Adur for want of roads.

50. Mr. I. E. Ambooken.—Will the Government be pleased to state whether they have taken any step to redress the grievances of the public of Adur owing to the sheer necessity of roads? If so, what are they?

Mr. I. Raman Menon.—The member is referred to the answer to question 238 (legislative session). The matter is receiving attention.

The Cheruvirutti-Nedumpura Road.

മിസ്റ്റർ കെ. ശങ്കരൻ നമ്പൂതിരി—

എ. ചെറുതുരുത്തി നെടുമ്പുര റോഡിനെപ്പറ്റി വല്ല ഹർജികളും ഗവർമ്മേണ്ടിന് കിട്ടിയിട്ടുണ്ടോ?

ബി. ഉണ്ടെങ്കിൽ അതിനെ സംബന്ധിച്ചുള്ള ഗവർമ്മേണ്ടിന്റെ തീരുമാനം എന്താണെന്ന് ദയവുചെയ്തു പറയാമോ?

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly